Part 4

Foundations

- 4.1 Land quality managing ground conditions
- 4.2 Building near trees
- 4.3 No longer allocated
- 4.4 Strip and trench fill foundations
- 4.5 Raft, pile, pier and beam foundations
- 4.6 Vibratory ground improvement techniques





Part 4 Foundations

Chapter 4.1

Land quality - managing ground conditions



CONTENTS

	Clause	Page
Objectives		1
Procedural summary		1
PROCEDURAL FLOW CHART		2
DESIGN		
Initial assessment		
Desk study	D1	3
Walkover survey	D2	3
Results	D3	4
Where hazards are not suspected		
Basic investigation	D4	4
Where hazards are suspected		
Detailed investigation	D5	4
Managing the hazards	D6	5
Documentation and verification	D7	5
Unforeseen hazards	D8	6
APPENDIX 4.1-A		
References		6
APPENDIX 4.1-B		
Potential hazards and associated risks		7
APPENDIX 4.1-C		
Site investigation techniques		8
APPENDIX 4.1-D		
"Suitable persons" and "Consultants or Specialists"		9
INDEX		9

SCOPE

This Chapter gives guidance on meeting the Technical Requirements and recommendations for assessing the site with regard to managing the ground conditions.

Hazardous sites

Builders are reminded that where a site* is hazardous, NHBC Rules state that, they must notify NHBC in writing at least 8 weeks before work begins.

Failure to provide NHBC with information about hazardous sites may result in a delay in processing the registration, hold up construction work on site and the issue of the 10 year cover.

* Site is defined in NHBC Rules as an area of land which is covered by a single detailed planning consent.

Objectives

This Chapter provides a framework for managing **geotechnical** and **contamination** risks with the objective of ensuring that:

- all sites are properly assessed and investigated
- foundations and substructure designs are suitable for the ground conditions
- sites are properly remediated where necessary or appropriate design precautions are taken, and
- appropriate documentation and verification can be provided to NHBC.

Assessment of geotechnical and contamination issues

Assessment should be carried out by direct investigation and examination of the ground, supplemented where necessary by results of laboratory testing on samples obtained.

Examples of potential hazards and associated risks relating to geotechnical and contamination issues are listed in Appendix 4.1-B.

Additionally, contaminated land should be assessed using the following framework:



Contamination may exist as a result of past industrial activities, the dumping of waste materials, spills or the presence of naturally occuring substances.

For contaminated land to exist the source, pathway and receptor (known as the pollutant linkage) must all exist.

A written or diagrammatic representation of the site characteristics (known as a Conceptual Model) should be produced to show the possible relationships between the contaminants, pathways and receptors.

Procedural summary

The processes to assess and manage the ground conditions are:

- illustrated in the Procedural flowchart, and
- described in detail in the pages that follow.

Useful references are contained in Appendix 4.1-A.

Initial assessment (Clauses D1 to D3) NHBC requires all sites to be assessed by a Desk study and a Walkover survey.

The **Results** should be used to determine whether or not hazards are known or suspected.

Basic investigation (Clause D4) Where hazards are not suspected a **basic investigation** will be required to support the results of the initial assessment.

Detailed investigation(Clause D5) Where hazards are known or suspected a **detailed investigation** will be required.

Further assessment

After the basic or detailed investigation has been undertaken a further assessment is required to confirm that all the objectives have been met. Where the results are inconclusive, further site investigation will be required.

Where hazards are found (Clause D6) Where hazards are identified, design precautions or remediation will be required to minimise their effects.

Documentation and verification (Clause D7) NHBC will require documentation to show that:

- the site has been properly assessed an investigated
- where necessary, suitable precautions are incorporated into the design
- all necessary remediation has been carried out.

Unforeseen hazards(Clause D8) If any unforeseen hazards are found during the course of construction, further investigation may be required. **Procedural flowchart**



Land quality - managing ground conditions



DESIGN STANDARDS

INITIAL ASSESSMENT

DESK STUDY

4.1 - D1 A desk study of the site and the surrounding area shall be undertaken by a suitable person

A desk study is the collection and examination of existing information obtained from a wide variety of sources.

It should indicate any potential hazards at an early stage and provide a basis for the investigation.

A suitable person, as described in Appendix 4.1-D, should carry out the desk study.

Items to be taken into account include:

(a) soils, geology, surface water and around water

Investigate the soils, geology, surface water and ground water of the site and surrounding area.

(b) use of the site and surrounding area

Research the current use and history of the site and surrounding area to assess the potential problems including those which may have been left by:

- industrial, commercial and agricultural uses including storage
- mining
- quarrying
- landfilling and tipping.

Some sites may have been associated with more than one process.

(c) sources of information

Refer to key sources of information includina:

- the Environment Agency or its equivalent, for example coastal erosion,
- the Local Authority, for example planning and environmental health
- county records offices, libraries,
- the utility companies
- mining reports past, present and proposed mining
- the British Geological Survey
- soil survey maps
- the Ordnance Survey
- and aerial photographs.

The above list is not exhaustive and local

(d) existing site information

Review all available information from:

- landfill sites, details of water abstraction
- museums, and local history sources
- the Coal Authority
- - maps and information
- current and previous editions of plans

sources may be relevant.



• ongoing monitoring.

INITIAL ASSESSMENT

WALKOVER STUDY 4.1 - D2 A walkover survey of the site and the surrounding area shall be undertaken by a suitable person

A walkover survey is a direct inspection of the site and the surrounding area carried out in conjunction with the desk study.

Look for indications of any potential hazards to provide a basis for the investigation.

A photographic record of the site can help in the reporting of the walkover survey.

A suitable person, as described in Appendix 4.1-D, should carry out the walkover survey.

Items to be taken into account include:

(a) topography



Are there any valley bottoms or depressions which may be soft or filled?



Is there evidence of overburden on slopes?

Is there excavation at the base of a slope?





Are there any signs of landslip, e.g. tilting trees, posts or walls?

Is there evidence of imported soil, tipped material or rubbish? Is it hot? Does it have an odour?



subsidence?

Are there signs of local

(b) soils and rocks



Is there any evidence of peat, silt or other highly compressible

surface?

material at or below the





(c) surface water and ground water



Is a high water table indicated, e.g. by waterlogged ground?

Is there cracking or stickiness

indicate a shrinkable sub-soil?

of the surface which may

Are there any signs of flooding?



Are there any reeds or waterloving plants?

Are there any springs, ponds, wells, ditches or streams?



What is its source?

Is there any discoloured water?

(d) vegetation (which may indicate the nature of the soils)



Is the vegetation sparse, dead or dying?

What is the type and condition of vegetation on land adjoining the site?





What are the species, height and condition of the trees?

What are the species, height, spread and condition of hedges and scrub on clay?





Is there evidence of former trees, hedges or scrub on clav?

(e) structural information



Is there evidence of damage to structures, e.g. cracking in buildings, on or around the site?

Is there other evidence of movement?





Is there evidence of any structures or services below ground?

Is there local knowledge of the

site e.g. mining, refuse tipping,

(f) local information



Are there local industrial history records indicating past and present uses of the site?

flooding?





Do local place names and street names give clues e.g. Brickfield Cottage, Water Lane?

INITIAL ASSESSMENT

RESULTS

4.1 - D3 The results of the desk study and walkover survey shall be recorded and evaluated by a suitable person

A suitable person, as described in Appendix 4.1-D, should record the results of the initial assessment and evaluate whether hazards are suspected.

The record should include the following as appropriate:

- site plans with dates, showing:
 - previous uses of the site
 - current uses of the site
 - the proposed site layout
- details of the geology of the site from:
 geological maps
- previous site investigations
 laboratory test results
- photographs of the site to show particular points of interest or concern, (e.g. areas of ground instability), with
- datescopies and interpretation of aerial photographs, with dates
- a list of sources of information consulted (e.g. Environment Agency, Coal Authority, etc.) and copies of the information obtained.

Sites where hazards are not suspected

BASIC INVESTIGATION

4.1 - D4 A basic investigation of the site shall be carried out and recorded by a suitable person to the satisfaction of NHBC

Where the results of the initial assessment indicate that hazards are not suspected on the site, this should be substantiated by carrying out a **basic investigation**.

This approach is to provide assurance for all sites, regardless of how free of hazards they may appear.

Only suitable persons with the skills and knowledge described in Appendix 4.1-D should carry out the basic investigation.

The following provides a specification for the basic investigation for all sites.

Trial pits should be located so as to be representative of the site. (For more detailed information refer to BS EN 1997-2)

The number and depth of trial pits needed depends upon:

- the proposed development
- how inconsistent the soil and geology is across the site
- the nature of the site.

The depth of the trial pits should not usually be less than 3m.

Items to be taken into account include: (a) geotechnical investigation (see Appendix 4.1-C)

A basic geotechnical investigation should be carried out. This will include trial pits and, where they do not provide sufficient information, boreholes will be necessary.

Physical tests, such as plasticity index tests, should be carried out as appropriate to support the results of the initial assessment.

Trial pits should be located outside the likely foundation area. The distance from the edge of the foundation should not be less than the trial pit depth.

(b) contamination investigation (see Appendix 4.1-C)

A basic contamination investigation should be carried out as part of the basic geotechnical investigation. This should consist of sampling and testing of soil taken from trial pits during the geotechnical investigation, as found to be necessary from the outcome of the initial assessment.

During the excavation of the trial pits the use of sight and smell may help to identify certain contaminants.

Where there is any doubt about the condition of the ground a detailed investigation should be carried out (see 4.1 - D5).

FURTHER ASSESSMENT

If the **basic investigation** reveals the presence of geotechnical and/ or contamination hazards or has not addressed all of the original objectives further **detailed investigation** should be carried out (see Clause D5).

If the **basic investigation**addresses all of the original objectives refer to **Clause D7**, **Documentation and Verification**.

Sites where hazards are suspected

DETAILED INVESTIGATION

4.1 - D5 Where hazards are suspected a detailed investigation of the site shall be carried out, under the supervision of a consultant or specialist acceptable to NHBC, to determine and report on the nature and extent of all hazardous ground conditions

A **detailed investigation** should be carried out where:

- hazards are suspected from the outset
- the initial assessment identified hazards, or
- the basic investigation identified hazards.

The basic (geotechnical and contamination) investigation should form the minimum requirement for any site investigation.

In addition to the basic investigation, the detailed investigation should:

- adopt a structured and staged approachgather information based on clearly
- gather information based on cleaning defined stages of investigation
 consider the immediate site and the
- consider the immediate site and the adjacent area
- take into account the possibility of future development in the vicinity of the site
- consider the nature of the development
- consider the complexity of the ground conditions
- cover the extent of influence of the proposed foundations
- consider the presence of soil gas; if there is any possibility of gas being present, then a full gas investigation should be carried out, which should include flow measurements
- provide a clear understanding of the problems, and an understanding of the liabilities, which have to be managed in order to develop the site
- consider:
 - the surface water and ground water conditions
 - the soils and geology, and
 - the previous site history.

A consultant or specialist acceptable to NHBC should be appointed to:

- design and supervise the detailed investigation
- present all the factual data obtained from the detailed investigation.

Guidance for the appointment of a consultant or specialist is given in Appendix 4.1-D.

FURTHER ASSESSMENT

If the **detailed investigation** has not satisfactorily addressed all of the original objectives further investigation should be carried out.

MANAGING THE RISKS

4.1 - D6 Any hazardous ground conditions shall be satisfactorily managed under the supervision of a consultant or specialist acceptable to NHBC

As appropriate, the consultant or specialist acceptable to NHBC should:

- identify any results which show that design precautions and/or remediation may be necessary
- carry out a risk assessment to determine appropriate design precautions and/or remedial treatment
- specify the options for remediating any contamination that may be present and provide a remediation statement
- make recommendations as to appropriate design precautions including any ground improvement techniques as necessary
- make recommendations on appropriate precautions for all underground services serving the site
- ensure the works are appropriately supervised
- produce a remediation report.

Items to be taken into account include:

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

(a) design precautions

Solutions for dealing with geotechnical hazards include the following:

- specialist foundations:
- piling and ground beams
- rafts
- ground improvement techniques:
- vibro
 dynamic compaction
- surcharging.

(b) remediation techniques Solutions for dealing with contamination

hazards include the following:risk avoidance - treatment to reduce the

- risk to the target by changing pathway or isolating the target by:
- changing layout
- building protective measures into construction

- engineering based treatment to remove or isolate the contaminants or modify the pathway by:
- excavation
- providing ground barriers
 covering and capping
- process based treatment to remove, modify, stabilise or destroy the contaminants by:
 - physical means
- biological means
- chemical means
- thermal means.

(c) site location

The identification of any constraints associated with the site and surrounding area which could restrict design precautions or remediation techniques should be identified and specified.

(d) timescale

Time constraints may influence the solution chosen since some techniques are very time consuming. This should not alter the requirement for effective remediation.

(e) consultation

In order to avoid abortive works it is important that the requirements of all statutory authorities are met by the proposed solution for the site.

REMEDIATION

(f) method statement

The method statement should detail the proposed remediation strategy for the site.

The statement should include the following details:

- original risk assessment, identification of the remediation objectives and outline information of the method chosen
- remediation objectives for ground, ground water and soil gas
- working method for implementation of the remediation
- waste classification and methods for controlling and disposing of waste
- proposed supervision and monitoring of remediation
- all validation sampling and testing to be implemented.

(g) reports

The report should include the following information:

- photographic records, especially for work which will be buried (e.g. membranes)
- site diaries or drawings, environmental supervisor's site diary, and independent witness statements where appropriate
- accurate surveys of the levels and position of all remediated areas
- a description of any remedial materials used
- details of soil movements and waste transfer notes
- results of post-remediation sampling; laboratory certificates should be provided in appendices

- validation test results
- monitoring results
- details of all consultations and meetings with statutory authorities.

Now refer to Clause D7, Documentation and Verification.

All sites

DOCUMENTATION AND VERIFICATION

4.1 - D7 Documentation and verification shall be provided to the satisfaction of NHBC that the site is suitable for the proposed development

Items to be taken into account include:

(a) geotechnical assessment WHERE GEOTECHNICAL HAZARDS ARE PRESENT

NHBC should be provided with design proposals to overcome the hazards.

(b) contamination assessment WHERE CONTAMINATION HAZARDS ARE NOT PRESENT

Evidence to substantiate that the site is not suspected to be hazardous may be asked for.

WHERE CONTAMINATION HAZARDS ARE PRESENT

NHBC should be provided with design proposals to overcome the hazards.

Radon gas

Where the site is within an area susceptible to radon it will be necessary to follow appropriate guidance in Building Regulations and associated documents.

The following table indicates the documentation required by NHBC.

Documentation required by NHBC				
	No geotechnical or contamination hazards present	Geotechnical hazards present (but no contamination hazards)	Contamination hazards present (but no geotechnical hazards)	Geotechnical and contamination hazards present
Initial assessment, further assessment and basic investigation	✓	\checkmark	✓	✓
Detailed investigation		1	1	1
Proposals to manage geotechnical risks		✓		√
Proposals to manage contamination risks			✓	✓
Verfication evidence	√	1	√	√

UNFORESEEN HAZARDS

4.1 - D8 Where any additional or unforeseen ground conditions are found during construction, the builder shall ensure that they are investigated and managed to the satisfaction of NHBC

As construction proceeds, additional or unforeseen hazards may be found. For example, it is possible to have undetected hazards which are missed by the site investigation.

Where additional or unforeseen hazards are found additional specialist advice is required so that the hazard is properly investigated, managed and verified.

APPENDIX 4.1-A

References

BRE:	BRE:	Report BR211 - 'Radon: Guidance on protective measures for new	DCLG and its predecessor departments	
		dwellings' Report BR212 - 'Construction of new buildings on gas-contaminated	Approved Documents A and C - Structures and site preparation and resistance to contaminants and moisture	
		land'	DEFRA and its predecessor departments	
		Report BR376 - 'Radon: guidance on protective measures for new dwellings in Scotland'	CLAN 02/05 Soil guideline values and the determination of land as contaminated land under Part 2A	
		Report BR413 - 'Radon: guidance on protective measures for new dwellings in Northern Ireland'	Circular 01/2006 Environmental Protection Act 1990: Part 2A Contaminated Land	
		Report BR414 - 'Protective measures for housing on gas- contaminated land'	Department of the Environment Industry Profiles - Information on the processes, materials and wastes associated with individual	
		Digest 383 - 'Site investigation for low-rise buildings: Soil description'	industries	
			Department of the Environment - Waste Management Paper No	
	BSI:	BS EN 1997-2- Geotechnical design: Ground investigation and testing	27 - Landfill Gas: A technical memorandum on the monitoring and control of landfill gas.	
	BS 10175 - Investigation of potentially contaminated sites			
	BS EN ISO 14688 - Geotechnical investigation and testing. Identification and classification of soil: Part 1. Identification and description. Part 2. Bringings for a classification.	Environment Agency		
		CLR11 Model procedures for the management of land contamination		
		description. Part 2. Principles for a classification.	CLEA (Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment) guidance and	
		BS EN ISO 22476 - Geotechnical investigation and testing. Sampling methods and groundwater measurements. Part 1. Technical	software Science Reports SR 1,2,3 and 7.	
	principles for execution.	NHBC: Guidance on evaluation of development proposals on sites where methane and carbon dioxide are present.		
	BS 8485 - Code of practice for the characterization and remediation of ground gas in affected development.	R&D Publication 66 - Guidance for the safe development of housing on land affected by contamination.		
CIRIA:		C665 - Assessing risks posed by hazardous ground gasses to buildings.		
		Special publications 101 - 112 - Remedial treatment for contaminated land		

APPENDIX 4.1-B

Examples of potential hazards and associated risks

Potential hazard	Associated risk
High water table or low lying land	Flooding.
	Effects from toxic or noxious materials which could be concentrated or transported by ground water.
Mining, past, present and proposed	Ground movement which will depend on the type of workings and materials extracted.
A house here and here here here here here here here her	Existence of ground gasses including methane and carbon dioxide.
Solution features in chalk and limestone including swallow holes	Underground cavities.
Trees	Shrinkage and heave of clay soils. See Technical Requirement R5.
	Physical damage caused by roots.
Peat	Acid attack.
	Changes in volume due to variations in moisture content.
	Production of methane and carbon dioxide.
Low bearing capacity ground	Settlement of foundations and sub-structures.
Infill and made ground including tipping	Release of gases which may be explosive or asphyxiating.
	Low bearing capacity causing settlement.
Former buildings or structures	Underground obstructions producing variations in bearing capacity and settlement characteristics.
Adjacent buildings	Effect on stability of both the new and existing buildings.
Existing drains, including land drains	Contamination, flooding, waterlogging and interruption of land drainage systems.
Sulfates in ground or ground water	Expansive reaction.
	Chemical attack on concrete, mortar and bricks or blocks made with cement.
Unstable ground subject to landslip	Ground movement.
Seas, lakes and rivers adjacent to land	Erosion.
Contamination	Substances which may be:
	• carcinogenic
	• toxic
	• asphyxiating
	corrosive
	• phytotoxic
	• combustive
	• explosive
	radioactive.

APPENDIX 4.1-C

Site investigation techniques

(In accordance with the recommendations of BS EN 1997-2 Geotechnical design: Ground investigation and testing)

Site investigation normally comprises a combination of the following:

Direct investigation

These techniques involve intrusive activities to enable retrieval and examination of the ground using the following methods of investigation:

a) trial pits

Trial pits allow the detailed inspection, logging, sampling and in-situ testing of large volumes of natural soil or fill and the assessment of ground water conditions.

b) trenches

Trenches are extended trial pits or linked trial pits which are excavated where greater exposure of the ground conditions is required.

Trial pits and trenches should be positioned where they will not affect future foundations.

c) boreholes

- Light cable percussion drilling The conventional equipment used in the UK to drill boreholes in soils and weak rocks is the light cable percussion rig, often referred to as the shell and auger rig.
- Continuous flight auger Exploratory boreholes may also be drilled in soils by mechanical continuous flight augers of various sizes. Hollow stem methods are typically employed where sample retrieval is required.
- Rotary drilling Rotary drilling is used to investigate rock and sometimes stiff soils such as Boulder Clay. The two basic rotary methods are open-hole drilling and rotary coring.

d) probes

Probing techniques can be used for the analysis of the relative density of soils and also for environmental sampling and monitoring (such as chemical and physical testing of gases, liquids and solids).

Indirect investigation

Geophysical techniques (for example, electromagnetic, resistivity, seismic, gravity and ground radar) provide indirect interpretations of ground conditions. These measure from the surface, variations in properties of the ground both horizontally and vertically and hence attempt to define subsurface conditions. Geophysical methods rely for their effectiveness on marked contrasts in the physical properties being measured. The required contrasts are provided by boundaries between distinctive strata with different properties (for example, between sand and gravel and rockhead). Definable contrasts may also be provided by faulting, underground cables and pipelines or by cavities.

Sampling

The number and type of samples taken and tests which are carried out for any particular investigation are designed to be appropriate to the range of ground materials encountered and to the development which is planned. The requirements should take account of the results of the desk study, the walkover survey and the site investigation.

Samples should always be taken, stored and transported carefully to avoid cross contamination.

Samples can be taken of:

a) soils and rocks

Samples from trial pits and boreholes are taken to enable soil and rock descriptions to be made and to provide material for physical and chemical testing.

Samples of soils may be either 'disturbed' (that is, not retaining the original structure and consistency) or 'undisturbed'. Having undergone minimal disturbance, it follows that 'undisturbed' samples provide a more reliable indication of physical soil properties than 'disturbed' samples.

b) ground water

Ground water should be collected from appropriately designed monitoring wells. The wells should be screened and sealed to ensure that the relevant stratum is being monitored.

c) gas

Gas sampling should be carried out from appropriately designed monitoring wells. Boreholes or window sampling holes are typically used. Identification of likely source and measurement of gas flows plays an important role in assessment of risk.

Testing

a) in-situ testing

A wide variety of in-situ tests can be used to support the results of direct testing. These range from basic tests undertaken by geologists or engineers using simple hand-held devices or portable test kits to the more elaborate methods that require specialist personnel and equipment.

b) laboratory testing

Testing laboratories should participate in quality assrance programmes (such as Contest and Aquacheck) and be accredited for relevant tests (by the likes of UKAS and MCERTS).

Physical tests on soil and rock materials are carried out to provide the following information on ground:

- strength
- relative density
- deformation
- settlement
- consolidation characteristics
- permeability.

Chemical tests on soils, rocks, ground water and gases can be carried out to provide an indication of potential contamination on the site.



APPENDIX 4.1-D

"Suitable persons" and "consultants or specialists"

SUITABLE PERSONS

The following skills and knowledge are required by the person responsible for the Initial Assessment (Clause D3), Basic Investigation (Clause D4) and Documentation and Verification (Clause D7):

- be able to carry out a desk study and walkover survey
- understand the hazards that can affect the development and know from where they originate
- know how to collect information relating to such hazards on and adjacent to the site
- be able to recognise the signs of potential hazards
- be able to determine when specialist advice and detailed testing is required, and
- be able to report the findings in a clear and concise manner.

CONSULTANTS OR SPECIALISTS

The following criteria should be used as guidance for the appointment of a consultant or specialist responsible for the Detailed Investigation (Clause D5), management of hazards (Clause D6) and Documentation and Verification (Clause D7):

Experience	has experience with similar types of site and development
Appropriate discipline(s)	a thorough understanding of all the relevant skills required on the project and has access to the skills of other disciplines including chemists, geologists, hydrogeologists,toxicologists and environmental chemists
Project management	ability to manage a project team consisting of the appropriate disciplines
Communication	able to communicate effectively within their organisation, with the client, statutory authorities and the general public
Reporting	can prepare comprehensive and well presented reports
Legislation	understands the legislation and liabilities associated with the area of the United Kingdom in which the development is being carried out
Quality assurance	has an appropriate quality management system and uses appropriately accredited laboratories
Risk management	can carry out risk assessments as part of the risk management process
Site investigation	can design site investigation programmes which include soil sampling, testing and laboratory analysis
Health and safety	is fully aware of all occupational hygiene issues and health and safety legislation
Engineering design	understands effective risk reduction techniques e.g. engineered foundations and sub-structure details or suitable remediation
Professional indemnity insurance	has, and maintains, appropriate Professional Indemnity Insurance for the work being carried out.

INDEX

Assessment1BBasic investigation1, 4, 9CConsultant5, 9Contamination3Contamination hazards4, 5, 7DDesign considerations5Desk study1, 3, 4, 9Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFurther assessment1, 4Further investigation1, 4	Α	
Basic investigation1, 4, 9Basic investigation1, 4, 9C5Contamination5Contamination hazards4, 5, 7DJDesign considerations5Desk study1, 3, 4, 9Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFFurther assessment1, 4	Assessment	1
C Consultant 5, 9 Contamination 5 Contamination hazards 4, 5, 7 D J Design considerations 5 Desk study 1, 3, 4, 9 Detailed investigation 1, 4, 9 Documentation 1, 5, 6, 9 F F Further assessment 1, 4	В	
Consultant5, 9Contamination5Contamination hazards4, 5, 7DDDesign considerations5Desk study1, 3, 4, 9Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFFurther assessment1, 4	Basic investigation	1, 4, 9
Contamination5Contamination hazards4, 5, 7DDesign considerations5Desk study1, 3, 4, 9Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFurther assessment1, 4	С	
Contamination hazards4, 5, 7D5Design considerations5Desk study1, 3, 4, 9Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFFurther assessment1, 4	Consultant	5, 9
DDesign considerations5Desk study1, 3, 4, 9Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFurther assessment1, 4	Contamination	5
Design considerations5Desk study1, 3, 4, 9Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFFurther assessment1, 4	Contamination hazards	4, 5, 7
Desk study1, 3, 4, 9Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFFurther assessment1, 4	D	
Detailed investigation1, 4, 9Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFFurther assessment1, 4	Design considerations	5
Documentation1, 5, 6, 9FFurther assessment1, 4	Desk study	1, 3, 4, 9
F Further assessment 1, 4	Detailed investigation	1, 4, 9
Further assessment 1, 4	Documentation	1, 5, 6, 9
	F	
Further investigation 1, 4	Further assessment	1, 4
	Further investigation	1, 4
G	G	
Geotechnical hazards 5, 6	Geotechnical hazards	5, 6

н	
Hazards	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9
1	
Initial assessment	1, 3, 4, 9
0	
Objectives	1
Р	
Pathway	1
Potential hazards	7
Procedural flow chart	1, 2
Procedural summary	1
R	
Receptor	1
Remediation	5
Results	1, 4, 5, 8, 9

S	
Site investigation	8
techniques	
Source	1
Specialist	4, 5, 9
Suitable person	3, 4, 9
Supervision	4, 5
U	
Unforeseen hazards	2, 9
V	
Verification	2, 9
w	
Walkover survey	2, 4, 5, 9
,	